UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,578	12/29/2003	Peter Ronald Smith	13353.20US01	8585 .
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 01/31/2007 % GOLUD PC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903	3		BEAUCHAINE, MARK J	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
		,		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
0.00	10/750,578	SMITH, PETER RONALD	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Mark J. Beauchaine	3653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.2 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-10,12,14,16-19,21,23,25 and 26 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) 14,16-19 and 21 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-6,23 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7-10,12 and 26 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 June 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	a) accepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Dijected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
•			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/750,578

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "front slot portions" (lines 3 and 4) lacks sufficient antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-6 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patent Number 5,647,469 by Yamagishi et al ("Yamagishi") in view of Patent Number 5,915,519 by Glaser ("Glaser"). The coin validator disclosed by Yamagishi includes coin insertion opening 17, coin return opening (see Figures 6 and 7), and a structure defining coin path 5 having first and second sides and extending from the insertion opening to the return opening including return region 2a. Yamagishi further

discloses said structure including housing 22 and cover/door defining said first and second sides, respectively, of said coin path. Said cover/door includes a rail 20 defining a floor (see Figures 8 and 9).

Still further, Yamagishi discloses means to detect and identify an object in a detection region of said coin path 6, and gate means 23 responsive to the identification of said object, and means 3 that is actuable to move said cover in a direction away from said first side of said coin path at mounting point 13 (see Figure 10) so as to widen the whole of said coin path to facilitate the clearance of coin jams and pair of detect elements 7 and 8 mounted on said housing and cover means (see Figure 14), said detect elements having an air gap between them.

Yamagishi fails to disclose said cover coupled to said housing at two or more mounting points. Glaser teaches cover/door 24 coupled to housing 94 at mounting points 34 and 35 (see Figures 4 and 5) for the purpose of securing said cover/door in a rotational manner. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the cover/door mounting configuration of Glaser into the validator of Yamagishi for the purpose of securing said cover/door in a rotational manner.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14, 16-19 and 21 are allowed. Claim 23 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 7-10, 12 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-10, 12, 14, 16-19, 21, 23, 25 and 26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,578

Art Unit: 3653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark J. Beauchaine whose telephone number is (571)272-6934. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM through 5:00PM Mondays through Thursdays.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick H. Mackey can be reached on (571)272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

mjb

PAINICH MACE PECHVISORY PATEE - MANER FECHNOLOGY CENtといいのの